UNITED STATES OF AMERICA CONSUMER PRODUCT SAFETY COMMISSION

IN THE MATTER OF

LEACHCO, INC.,

CPSC DOCKET NO. 22-1

Respondent.

LEACHCO, INC.'S MOTION FOR PROTECTIVE ORDER

Respondent Leachco, Inc., pursuant to 16 C.F.R. §§ 1025.23 & 1025.31(d), respectfully moves for a protective order to stop the Commission's discovery fishing expedition. The CPSC seeks Leachco's internal communications concerning its subjective knowledge of irrelevant matters. But these communications have absolutely nothing to do with the CPSC's allegations, and they are not remotely calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence.

The parties attempted to resolve this dispute without the Court's intervention

through correspondence and telephone conferences. They were unable to do so.

The CPSC should be precluded from obtaining responses to RFP No. 27 and

RFA Nos. 3, 4, & 5--

CPSC RFP No. 27: All electronic communications (including, but not limited to, internal and external emails, instant messages, and text messages) to and from the following persons, whether involving third parties and/or other Leachco personnel, between January 1, 2008 and the date the Complaint was filed in this matter (February 9, 2022) containing the following search terms:

a. Persons to search:

- 1. Jamie Leach;
- 2. Clyde Leach;
- 3. Alex Leach;

- 4. Mabry Ballard;
- 5. Tonya Barrett;
- 6. Dan Marshall; and,
- 7. Leah Barnes.

b. Search Terms:

- 1. "Podster" and "safety" or "safe";
- 2. "Podster" and "suffocation" or "suffocate" or "suffocating";
- 3. "Podster" and "incident";
- 4. "Podster" and "breathing" or "breathe";
- 5. "Podster" and "obstruction" or "obstructing";
- 6. "Podster" and "injury" or "injure" or "injuries";
- 7. "Podster" and "hazard" or "hazardous";
- 8. "Podster" and "death" or "died" or "dying";
- 9. "Podster" and "sleep";
- 10. "Podster" and "warnings" or "warn" or "warned";
- 11. "Podster" and "prone" or "face down";
- 12. "Podster" and "roll" or "move";
- 13. "Podster" and "unsupervised" or "supervise";
- 14. "Podster" and "crib";
- 15. "Podster" and "bed";
- 16. "Podster" and "nap";
- 17. "Podster" and "asphyxia";
- 18. "Podster" and "defect";
- 19. "Podster" and "recall"; and
- 20. "Podster" and "CPSC".

* * *

CPSC RFA No. 3: Admit that, prior to the filing of the Complaint, Leachco had knowledge that consumers were allowing infants to sleep on Podsters.

CPSC RFA No. 4: Admit that, prior to the filing of the Complaint, Leachco had knowledge that at least one Retailer advertised the Podster as a product in which infants can sleep.

CPSC RFA No. 5: Admit that, prior to the filing of the Complaint, Leachco had knowledge that there were reviews on Amazon.com in which consumers referenced infants sleeping on Podsters.

* * *

Leachco sets forth in full its reasons to issue a protective order in the accompa-

nying Memorandum in Support.

A Proposed Order is attached.

DATED: November 21, 2022.

Respectfully submitted,

JOHN F. KERKHOFF Ohio Bar No. 0097134 FRANK D. GARRISON Indiana Bar No. 34024-49 Pacific Legal Foundation 3100 Clarendon Boulevard, Suite 1000 Arlington, VA 22201 Telephone: 202.888.6881 Fax: 916.419.7747 JKerkhoff@pacificlegal.org FGarrison@pacificlegal.org OLIVER J. DUNFORD Florida Bar No. 1017791 Pacific Legal Foundation 4440 PGA Blvd., Suite 307 Palm Beach Gardens, FL 33410 Telephone: 916.503.9060 Fax: 916.419.7747 ODunford@pacificlegal.org

Counsel for Respondent Leachco, Inc.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA CONSUMER PRODUCT SAFETY COMMISSION

IN THE MATTER OF

LEACHCO, INC.,

CPSC DOCKET NO. 22-1

Respondent.

[PROPOSED] ORDER

This matter, having come before the Presiding Officer on Respondent Leachco, Inc.'s Motion for a Protective Order, dated November 21, 2022, it is:

HEREBY ORDERED that Leachco's Motion is Granted.

It is further **ORDERED**:

Leachco is relieved from providing a supplemental response to Complaint Coun-

sel's Request for Production No. 27;

Leachco is relieved from providing answers to Complaint Counsel's Requests For Admission Nos. 3, 4, and 5;

Complaint Counsel is precluded from discovery concerning Leachco's internal communications and/or subjective internal knowledge related to Complaint Counsel's claim that the Podster presents a "substantial product hazard" under 15 U.S.C. § 2064(a)(2); and

Compliant Counsel is precluded from discovery concerning claims other than the claim alleged in Complaint Counsel's Complaint, namely whether the Podster presents a "substantial product hazard" under 15 U.S.C. § 2064(a)(2).

Dated:_____

Michael G. Young Administrative Law Judge

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on November 21, 2022, the foregoing was served upon all

parties and participants of record as follows:

Honorable Michael G. Young Federal Mine Safety and Health Review Commission Office of the Chief Administrative Law Judge 1331 Pennsylvania Ave., N.W., Suite 520N Washington, D.C. 20004-1710 myoung@fmshrc.gov cjannace@fmshrc.gov	Mary B. Murphy Director, Div. of Enforcement & Litigation U.S. Consumer Product Safety Comm'n 4330 East West Highway Bethesda, MD 20814 mmurphy@cpsc.gov
	Robert Kaye Assistant Executive Director Office of Compliance and Field Operations U.S. Consumer Product Safety Comm'n 4330 East West Highway Bethesda, MD 20814
Alberta Mills Secretary of the U.S. Consumer Product Safety Commission U.S. Consumer Product Safety Commission 4330 East West Highway Bethesda, MD 20814 amills@cpsc.gov ndipadova@cpsc.gov	rkaye@cpsc.gov Leah Ippolito, Supervisory Attorney Brett Ruff, Trial Attorney Rosalee Thomas, Trial Attorney Caitlin O'Donnell, Trial Attorney Michael Rogal, Trial Attorney Frederick C. Millett Gregory M. Reyes Complaint Counsel Office of Compliance and Field Operations U.S. Consumer Product Safety Comm'n Bethesda, MD 20814 lippolito@cpsc.gov bruff@cpsc.gov rbthomas@cpsc.gov mrogal@cpsc.gov fmillett@cpsc.gov

Oliver J. Dunford Counsel for Respondent Leachco, Inc.