

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
CONSUMER PRODUCT SAFETY COMMISSION

In the Matter of

Britax Child Safety, Inc.

CPSC Docket No. 18-1

April 23, 2018

Order Amending Discovery Schedule and Procedure

On April 17, 2018, the parties filed a joint motion for a protective order and to amend the discovery schedule. Pursuant to 16 C.F.R. § 1025.31(d), good cause for the protective order has been shown. Pursuant to 16 C.F.R. § 1025.31(i), and in view of the timing of my appointment, it is just and appropriate for the timing and service of discovery responses to be modified as requested by the parties. However, I will rule on extensions of time once they are made, on a case by case basis.

The joint motion is therefore GRANTED IN PART as follows:

It is ORDERED that discovery responses shall be served upon the parties only, and will not be filed with the Secretary or served on the presiding administrative law judge, without further order or unless a dispute arises requiring that a response (or a portion thereof) be filed with the Secretary or served on the presiding administrative law judge.

It is further ORDERED that objections and responses to the pending discovery requests shall be due thirty days after entry of a protective order, and that any objections to the pending discovery requests are preserved until the responses to the pending discovery requests are served.

It is further ORDERED that the joint request for a protective order is GRANTED. The protective order will issue under separate cover.



Cameron Elliot
Administrative Law Judge