

**UNITED STATES OF AMERICA  
CONSUMER PRODUCT SAFETY COMMISSION**

<hr/>		
<b>In the Matter of</b>	)	<b>CPSC Docket No: 12-1</b>
	)	<b>CPSC Docket No: 12-2</b>
	)	<b>CPSC Docket No: 13-2</b>
<b>MAXFIELD AND OBERTON</b>	)	
<b>HOLDINGS, LLC</b>	)	
<b>AND</b>	)	
<b>CRAIG ZUCKER, individually and as</b>	)	
<b>an officer of</b>	)	
<b>MAXFIELD AND OBERTON</b>	)	
<b>HOLDINGS, LLC</b>	)	
<b>AND</b>	)	<b>HON. DEAN C. METRY</b>
<b>ZEN MAGNETS, LLC</b>	)	
<b>AND</b>	)	
<b>STAR NETWORKS USA, LLC</b>	)	
	)	
	)	
<b>Respondents.</b>	)	
<hr/>	)	

**ORDER REGARDING MOTIONS**

On February 11, 2014, Counsel for Craig Zucker submitted a letter to the undersigned (attached). The letter indicates a copy was served on counsel of record for all parties in the above-captioned proceeding. However, the letter does not contain a Certificate of Service, and it is unclear whether a copy of the letter was filed with the Secretary, as required. 16 C.F.R. § 1025.14(a) (“...all documents submitted to the Commission or the Presiding Officer shall be addressed to, and filed with, the Secretary.”).

To ensure all filings are made part of the record, and to eliminate any potential confusion, all requests for relief from the Presiding Officer shall be filed in the form of a proper Motion. See 16 C.F.R. § 1025.23(b).

**SO ORDERED.**

Done and dated this 19th day of February, 2014, at  
Galveston, TX



---

**DEAN C. METRY**  
**Administrative Law Judge**



**MILES &  
STOCKBRIDGE P.C.**

TIMOTHY L. MULLIN, JR.  
Direct Dial (410) 385-3641  
TMullin@MilesStockbridge.com

February 11, 2014

**Via Email (Janice.M.Emig@uscg.mil)**

The Honorable Dean C. Metry  
U.S. Coast Guard  
U.S. Courthouse  
601 25th Street, Suite 508A  
Galveston, TX 77550

Re: In the Matter of Maxfield and Oberton Holdings, LLC, CPSC Docket No. 12-1,  
12-2 and 12-3

Dear Judge Metry:

As you know, I represent Craig Zucker, Respondent in CPSC Docket 12-1.

Yesterday, Complaint Counsel filed Complaint Counsel's Opposition to Respondent Craig Zucker's Motion for Protective Order.

In light of the arguments made in the Opposition, I would like the opportunity to file a reply. Consequently, I will be filing a motion for leave to file a reply pursuant to 16 C.F.R. § 1025.3223(c), to which I will append my proposed reply.

I respectfully request that you defer ruling on the motion for ten (10) days to provide me an opportunity to file my motion and proposed reply.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Timothy L. Mullin, Jr.

TLMjr/map

CC: Mary B. Murphy, Esquire (via email [mmurphy@cpsc.gov](mailto:mmurphy@cpsc.gov))  
Jennifer C. Argabright, Esquire (via email [jargabright@cpsc.gov](mailto:jargabright@cpsc.gov))  
Mary Claire G. Claud, Esquire (via email [mcclaud@cpsc.gov](mailto:mcclaud@cpsc.gov))  
Daniel Vice, Esquire (via email [dvice@cpsc.gov](mailto:dvice@cpsc.gov))  
David C. Japha, Esquire (via email [davidjapha@japhalaw.com](mailto:davidjapha@japhalaw.com))  
Erika Z. Jones, Esquire (via email [ejones@mayerbrown.com](mailto:ejones@mayerbrown.com))  
John R. Fleder, Esquire (via email [jfelder@hpm.com](mailto:jfelder@hpm.com))  
Paul M. Laurenza, Esquire ([plarenza@dykema.com](mailto:plarenza@dykema.com))  
Joshua H. Joseph, Esquire ([jjoseph@dykema.com](mailto:jjoseph@dykema.com))