Effects of mixtures of phthalates and other toxicants on sexual differentiation in rats: *A risk assessment framework based upon disruption of common developing systems* 



USEPA scientist grapples with difficult environmental issues

# L Earl Gray Jr.

This presentation does not necessarily reflect USEPA policy, but rather represents the author's current view on the state of the science **Reproductive Toxicology Branch, NHEERL, ORD, USEPA** 

## Developmental Reproductive Toxicants

### **AR** Antagonists

Compete with natural hormones T and DHT for AR, prevent AR-DNA binding in vitro, inhibit AR-dependent gene expression in vivo, and may induce malformations in male reproductive tract and delay puberty in male rat

- Vinclozolin
- Procymidone
- Linuron
- Prochloraz
- p,p' DDE and other o,p'- and p,p' DDT metabolites

### Inhibitors of fetal androgen synthesis

Prevent the synthesis of natural hormones T and DHT and can induce malformations in male reproductive tract and delay puberty in male rat

- DEHP
- DPP, DCHP
- BBP, DBP, DiBP
- DiHP, DHP, DHeP
- DINP
- Linuron
- Prochloraz

Estrogens Methoxychlor Ethinyl Estradiol Bisphenol A

Fetal Germ Cell Toxicants Busulfan Diazo dyes

Steroidogenesis inhibitors Prochloraz Linuron Ketoconazole Fenarimol

Androgens Testosterone Trenbolone

Dioxins and PCBs Dioxin PCB 169 congener

 In Utero exposure and measure Hormone dependent endpoints male rat offspring as adults •Anogenital distance at birth •Nipple/ areolar numbers in infants •Reproductive Malformations •Undescended testes •Gubernacular abnormalities •Epididymal agenesis •Ventral prostate agenesis Seminal vesicle agenesis •Vas deferens agenesis •Nipples •Hypospadias •Vaginal pouch •Reproductive Organ Weights •Glans penis •Ventral prostate Seminal vesicle •Testes •Epididymides Levator ani bulbocavernosus •Cowper's glands Testis and epididymal histopathology

F1 male rat offspring: Reproductive endpoints sensitive to lower dosage levels of phthalates in utero. Critical "adverse effects": % with Phthalate Syndrome and Fetal androgen production.



#### **DEHP DR Gray et al 2009**

- ↔ No Hypopadias
- -D- Testis weight
- Epididymal weight
- Seminal vesicle weight
- Testis/EPI malfs NOT
- AGD 2
- -**⊟** % no NIPS 13
- Fetal t Production KLH
- ⋟ % no PhSyn

PE Dose Response reproductive effects on F1 Male rat offspring indicate that DEHP, DBP, DiBP, BBP and Dihexyl phthalate are equipotent. DPP is more potent and DINP is less potent than this group of PEs





Adult male F1 epididymal weight data pooled from several rat studies



Adult male F1 rat testis weight data pooled from several rat studies



Adult male F1 seminal weight data pooled from several rat studies



Fetal endocrine changes demonstrate the relative potency (RP) of individual PEs is consistent with their RP to induce reproductive tract alterations seen in postnatal life. Thus, changes in fetal androgen levels can be used to "screen" phthalates for their potential to induce malformations and possibly as a "critical effect" in individual and cumulative risk assessments.

- Fetal Phthalate screen (FPS)
  - Dose dam with PE at a single high dosage group
  - Gestational exposure during critical period of sexual differentiation
  - On Gestational Day 18 necropsy dam
    - Measure testis fetal testis Testosterone (T) production
    - Testis gene expression
      - STAR
      - Insl3
      - Cyp11a
  - For "Positives"
    - Run dose response studies
    - Use potency factors to execute mixture studies
    - For "important" PEs with data gaps run a postnatal study

# **FPS Results to date**

### Positives

- DINP both CAS #s \*
- Diheptyl phthalate
- Diisoheptyl phthalate
- Dihexyl phthalate
- Dibutyl phthalate \*
- Diisobutyl phthalate \*
- Benzylbutyl phthalate
  Future studies
- Dicyclohexyl phthalate
- Dipentyl phthalate \*

- Negatives
  - Diethyl phthalate
  - Dioctyl terephthalate
  - Hexamoll DINCH
  - Di-2-ethylhexyl tetrabromo phthalate
- - DMP, Diproply P, **DIDP**, **DPHP**, others

**FPS DOSE RESPONSE STUDIES ONGOING** 

Fetal T production is more sensitive to Phthlate ester (PE) disruption than are testis genes of postnatal effects (epididymal malformations) and T prod is less variable resulting in lower NOELs and BMDs. Below is a generic example.

## PE IN UTERO EFFECTS ON FETAL AND POSTNATAL MALE RATS



# In utero mixture studies Summary

Similar cellular and molecular mechanisms of action

- Binary mixtures pairs of chemicals
- Mixture of 5 phthalates

Diverse cellular and molecular mechanisms of action

- Binary mixtures pairs of chemicals
- Mixture of 7 chemicals including pesticides and phthalates
- Mixture of 10 chemicals

Initial Step in a Cumulative Risk Assessment as outlined by the USEPA and other regulator agencies.

 Identification of a group of chemicals to be included in a

Common Mechanism Group
 chemicals that induce a common toxic effect by a common mechanism of toxicity.

# Key Question:

 Should chemicals that disrupt differentiation of the same reproductive tissue but by different molecular mechanisms of action in different tissues be included in a Common Mechanism Group?

• The default answer has been:

## • *NO*

because such a mixture would not be expected to produce adverse effects if each chemical is administered below the NOAEL **Response addition (RA)** 

• 0 + 0.....+ 0 = No Adverse Effects

• However if this assumption is incorrect **Dose addition (DA)** 

• 0 + 0 +.....+ 0 = 100% Malformations

# **Objectives of our research**

- Determine how chemicals with similar and dissimilar mechanisms of toxicity interact during sexual differentiation
- To provide a framework for deciding what chemicals to include in a cumulative risk assessment
- Working Hypothesis:

Chemicals that disrupt the development of a common reproductive tissue/system during sexual differentiation will produce dose additive responses, regardless of the molecular mechanism or the signaling pathway that is disrupted

## **Cumulative effects:**

## **Common mechanisms of toxicity**



**Cumulative effects of Phthalates** A Common Mechanism of Toxicity: Altered fetal Leydig cell differentiation and reduced hormone synthesis

Hypospadias: 0+0=50%



Common mechanism of Toxicity Effects of a mixture of five phthalates on fetal testosterone production in the rat (Howdeshell et al, 2008)

Individual studies

 DBP, DiBP, BBP, DEHP, DPP administered at several dosage levels on GD 8-18. Fetal testis collected on GD 18 and fetal T production measured.

### Mixture study

- Five phthalates were administered as a mixture and fetal T production measured on GD 18.
- Mixture ratio designed so that each phthalate would contribute equally to reduction in fetal T production if the mixture behaved in a doseadditive manner.



Unexpected high incidence of reproductive tract malformations in female rat offspring in the 5 phthalate mixture study

Uterine and vaginal agenesis

Shown here – uterus unicornis with agenesis of the lower vaginal canal and vaginal opening



## **Cumulative effects:**

Diverse mechanisms of toxicity that disrupt the same signaling pathway

Disruption of the Androgen signaling pathway in fetal tissues

# Binary mixtures studies with chemicals that act via different mechanisms

### • The chemical pairs include:

 1) a phthalate ester plus a herbicide that has dual modes of action (linuron - 75 mg/kg/d and BBP 500 mg/kg/d)(Hotchkiss et al. 2004).

2) a phthalate ester plus an AR antagonist (DBP 500 mg/kg/d and procymidone 50 mg/kg/d)
 Two studies

 SD rats were dosed on GD 14-18 with chemicals singly or in pairs at dosage levels equivalent to about one half of the effective dose which causes a 50% incidence (ED<sub>50</sub>) of hypospadias and/or epididymal agenesis.

# Binary mixture studies with a phthalate plus a pesticide



### Disrupting the AR Pathway by Multiple mechanisms of toxicity. IN UTERO EXPOSURE TO THE FUNGICIDE PROCYMIDONE AND DIBUTYL PHTHALATE

Hotchkiss et al, Reproductive Toxicology 2010.

- Timed-pregnant Sprague-Dawley dams (n=4-10/dosage group) were gavaged daily from gestational day 14-18 with a mixture at 100, 83, 67, 50, 33, 17, 8, 4, or 0% of the top dose.
- The top dose of the mixture contained PRO at 150 mg/kg/d and DBP at 1125 mg/kg/d and was expected to induce 100% incidence of malformations.

### IN UTERO EXPOSURE TO THE FUNGICIDE PROCYMIDONE AND DIBUTYL PHTHALATE PRODUCE DOSE-ADDITIVE DISRUPTIONS OF MALE RAT SEXUAL DIFFERENTIATION

![](_page_23_Figure_1.jpeg)

### "MegaMix1" Study: 7 antiandrogens Rider et al. 2008. Int J Androl

- Pregnant rats were dosed from GD 14-18 and male offspring were examined assessed for effects through adult life.
- The "high dose" group, termed the ED<sub>100</sub>, included the 7 chemicals, each at 1/7th their ED<sub>100</sub> for malformations
  - vinclozolin 15 mg/kg/d, procymidone 15 mg/kg/d, prochloraz 35 mg/kg/d, linuron 20 mg/kg/d, and BBP, DBP and DEHP at 150 mg/kg/d per phthalate
- Doses: ED<sub>100</sub> and 75%, 50% and 25% of the ED<sub>100</sub>

![](_page_25_Figure_0.jpeg)

Disrupting the AR Pathway by Multiple mechanisms of toxicity. Ten chemical mixture study. Rider et al 2010

Ten "antiandrogenic" chemicals were administered orally to pregnant rats on gestational days 14-18 and the reproductive development of the male offspring was evaluated.

Data were analyzed to determine if the chemicals behaved in a response-, integrated or dose-additive fashion.

# "MegaMix 2" Study: 10 antiandrogens

### • The "high dose" group, termed the ED<sub>100</sub>, included

- vinclozolin (30 mg/kg/d)
- procymidone (30)
- prochloraz (60)
- linuron (40)
- Six phthalates: DPP (50) and BBP, DBP, DiBP, DiHP and DEHP (150 per phthalate)

• Doses: 100%, 80, 60,40, 20, 10 and 0% of the top dose

![](_page_28_Figure_0.jpeg)

#### Organ weights from F1 male rats: Megamix 2 data

![](_page_29_Figure_1.jpeg)

Percent of Top Dose

**Epididymal WT obs vs predictions** 

![](_page_29_Figure_3.jpeg)

## Summary of results on the AR Pathway

- Dose Addition is the most logical model for the data
- Response addition does not explain the results
- DA is consistent with the biology of hormone action
- Phthalates, vinclozolin, procymidone, linuron and prochloraz all act on the fetal tissues by disrupting a "Common Pathway"
- What the tissues "see" is a reduction in AR bound to an androgen so in both cases androgendependent gene expression is attenuated
  - AR antagonists do this by preventing T from binding AR
  - T synthesis inhibitors do this by reducing T levels
  - The disrupted developing tissue does not distinguish among these two events.

### **Disrupting Multiple Pathways in common tissues:**

Altered sexual differentiation through disruption of AhR and AR signaling pathways

- Dibutyl phthalate (DBP) disrupts male development by decreasing testosterone production by the testes and decreasing expression of insl3 (a protein involved in testicular descent).
- 2,3,7,8-Tetrachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin (TCDD) disrupts male reproductive tract development through an unknown mechanism of action that apparently does not involve the androgen signaling pathway.

### **Disrupting Multiple Pathways in common tissues:**

Altered sexual differentiation through disruption of AhR and AR signaling pathways

- Dibutyl phthalate (DBP) disrupts male development by decreasing testosterone production by the testes and decreasing expression of insl3 (a protein involved in testicular descent).
- 2,3,7,8-Tetrachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin (TCDD) disrupts male reproductive tract development through an unknown mechanism of action that apparently does not involve the androgen signaling pathway.

### **DBP+TCDD** malformations and organ weight reductions that exceeded Response Addition predictions

![](_page_33_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_33_Figure_2.jpeg)

0

![](_page_33_Figure_3.jpeg)

5

control

TCDD 1.3 DBP 320

TCDD 2

RA

Cumulative risk assessment using a Framework based upon Disruption of a Common System/developing tissue

•Cumulative Risk assessments would be conducted on all the chemicals that disrupted common reproductive tissues

•Differentiation of androgen-dependent tissues depends upon critical interactions of dynamic interconnnected pathways.

•All the chemicals that affect the same tissue would be considered in a single cumulative risk assessment and the effects of a mixture would be predicted using the relative potencies on a tissue-by-tissue basis.

# The "Team"

**USEPA USEPA USEPA USEPA** USEPA USEPA **USEPA** 

Dr. Cynthia Rider

Dr. Jerry LeBlanc

**Duke University** 

North Carolina State University

Dr Paul Foster Dr. Chad Blystone Dr. Kembra Howdeshell NIEHS

NIEHS NIEHS

Supported in part by an NTP, NIEHS/EPA Interagency Cooperative Research Agreement HHS Y1-ES-8014-01; EPA RW75922855-01-0.

![](_page_36_Picture_0.jpeg)