

This document has been electronically approved and signed.

## **BALLOT VOTE SHEET**

D. IL.		, 0,	Date: November 13, 2019
ТО		:	The Commission Alberta A. Mills, Secretary
THR	OUGF	I:	J. Gibson Mullan, Acting General Counsel Mary T. Boyle, Executive Director
FRO	М	:	Patricia M. Pollitzer, Assistant General Counsel Barbara E. Little, Regulatory Affairs Attorney
SUBJ	ECT	:	Draft <i>Federal Register</i> Notice: Withdrawal of Guidance Document on Hazardous Additive, Non-Polymeric Organohalogen Flame Retardants in Certain Consumer Products
	BAl	LLC	T VOTE Due: Tuesday, November 19, 2019
Guida in Ce	rawin ance D rtain C	g the locu lons	ched draft <i>Federal Register</i> notice announces that the Commission is e guidance document published in the <i>Federal Register</i> on September 28, 2017: ment on Hazardous Additive, Non-Polymeric Organohalogen Flame Retardants umer Products (82 FR 45268).  your vote on the following options:
I.	Appro	ove j	publication of the draft notice in the Federal Register.
	(Sign	atur	e) (Date)
II.	Approchang		publication of the draft notice in the Federal Register, with the specified
	(Sig	natu	re) (Date)

(Signature)	(Date)		
Take other action specified below.			
(Signature)	(Date)		

Attachment: Draft *Federal Register* notice: "Guidance Document on Hazardous Additive, Non-Polymeric Organohalogen Flame Retardants in Certain Consumer Products; Withdrawal."

DRAFT

Billing Code 6355-01-P

CONSUMER PRODUCT SAFETY COMMISSION

[CPSC Docket No. 2015-0022]

Guidance Document on Hazardous Additive, Non-Polymeric Organohalogen Flame

**Retardants in Certain Consumer Products; Withdrawal** 

**AGENCY:** Consumer Product Safety Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice; withdrawal of guidance document.

**SUMMARY:** In the **Federal Register** of September 28, 2017, the Consumer Product Safety

Commission (CPSC) published a guidance document concerning certain consumer products that

may contain harmful organohalogen flame retardants in an additive form. The CPSC is

withdrawing the guidance document.

**DATES**: As of [INSERT DATE OF PUBLICATION IN THE FEDERAL REGISTER], the

notice published at 82 FR 452688, September 28, 2017 is withdrawn.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Alberta E. Mills, Secretary, Division of the

Secretariat, Office of the General Counsel, U.S. Consumer Product Safety Commission, 4330

East West Highway, Bethesda, MD 20814; telephone (301) 504-7479; email: amills@cpsc.gov.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** 

A. Background

On June 30, 2015, a coalition of consumer advocates and health professionals petitioned

the Commission to declare four categories of consumer products containing additive, non-

polymeric organohalogen flame retardants (OFRs)<sup>1</sup> to be "banned hazardous substances" under

the Federal Hazardous Substances Act (FHSA). The petitioners claim that due to their inherent

<sup>1</sup> For purposes of this document, "OFRs" refers to additive, non-polymeric chemicals only; it does not include

reactive or polymeric OFRs.

1

## **DRAFT**

physical-chemical properties, OFRs, among other things, are toxic, migrate widely out of products regardless of how the products are used, bioaccumulate, and present a serious public health concern. On September 20, 2017, the Commission voted to grant the petition to initiate rulemaking under the FHSA and directed staff to convene a Chronic Hazard Advisory Panel (CHAP), pursuant to the procedures of section 28 of the Consumer Product Safety Act (15 U.S.C. 2077) to continue studying the effects of these OFRs as a class of chemicals on consumers' health.

On September 28, 2017, the Commission published in the Federal Register a guidance document (82 FR 45268), which:

- expressed the Commission's concerns regarding the potential toxicity of OFRs as a class
  of chemicals and the risks of exposure to the four product categories listed in the
  petition;
- requested that manufacturers of children's products, furniture, mattresses, and electronic casings eliminate the use of such chemicals in these products;
- recommended that, before purchasing such products for resale, importers, distributors,
   and retailers obtain assurances from manufacturers that such products do not contain
   OFRs; and
- recommended that consumers, especially those who are pregnant or with young children,
   inquire and obtain assurances from retailers that such products do not contain OFRs.

## B. The NAS Study and Withdrawal of the CPSC Guidance

Due to the complexities of a rulemaking concerning a class of chemicals, CPSC staff asked NAS to develop a scoping plan to conduct a hazard assessment for OFRs as a chemical class. The first step is to determine whether a class approach to OFRs is viable for a hazard

**DRAFT** 

aseessment. NAS convened a committee, the Committee to Develop a Scoping Plan to Assess

the Hazards of Organohalogen Flame Retardants, and the committee prepared a report. You can

find the NAS report here: <a href="http://dels.nas.edu/Report/Class-Approach-Hazard-Assessment/25412">http://dels.nas.edu/Report/Class-Approach-Hazard-Assessment/25412</a>.

The NAS report states that the committee:

found that the OFRs cannot be treated as a single class for the purposes of a CPSC

hazard assessment. The OFRs can, however, be divided into subclasses on the

basis of chemical structure, physicochemical properties, and predicted biologic

activity. The committee identified 14 subclasses that can be used to conduct a

class-based hazard assessment and concluded that the best approach is to define

subclasses as broadly as feasible for the analysis; defining subclasses too

narrowly could defeat the purpose of a class approach to hazard assessment.

NAS report at p. 2.

CPSC continues work on OFRs. The Commission's FY 2020 Operating Plan states:

"staff will continue to evaluate the completed NAS study, and develop proposals for methods to

collect and analyze data and other information to perform risk assessment." Because staff is

continuing to perform additional studies and evaluations, the Commission is removing the

guidance from the CPSC website, and we are also removing FAQs related to the guidance

document.

Dated: \_\_\_\_\_

Alberta E. Mills, Secretary

**Consumer Product Safety Commission** 

3