

**LOG OF MEETING  
DIRECTORATE FOR ENGINEERING SCIENCES**

**SUBJECT:** Meeting of the ASTM F15.21 Infant Loungers Scope Task Group

**OP PLAN PRODUCT:** Infant Support Pillows and Nursing Support Products

**DATE OF MEETING:** January 24, 2023

**PLACE OF MEETING:** Virtual/Teleconference

**LOG ENTRY SOURCE:** Tim Smith (ESHF)

**COMMISSION ATTENDEES:** Tim Smith (ESHF), Ashley Johnson (HSPP), Celestine Kish (ESHF), Stefanie Marques (HSPP), and Suad Wanna-Nakamura (HSPP)

**NON-COMMISSION ATTENDEES:** Contact ASTM for attendee list

**SUMMARY OF MEETING:**

This meeting of the ASTM Infant Loungers Scope task group was led by the Chair of the task group, Chris Gulbrandsen. The Chair began the meeting by summarizing what came out of the prior meeting and reopening the debate about products covered under the scope of the proposed standard. He reiterated that rigid-framed products are out of scope, and most of the discussion focused on how to address hybrid products (*e.g.*, infant feeding support products that also function as loungers).

The task group agreed that there should be a requirement stating that products that fall under the scope of another standard should be required to meet that standard as well, and the Chair stated that this should bring hybrid products into the scope if they function as loungers. CPSC staff noted that one point of contention during the prior meeting was that the definition of infant lounger is restrictive enough that a product must have a raised perimeter or a recess into which an infant is placed to fall within scope; however, infant feeding supports that function as loungers do not necessarily have these features. The Chair stated that he revised the definition to include products that have an “other area” for lounging, and that this language should capture the hybrid products.

The task group also discussed the language of the first section of the scope (section 1.1), which summarizes products not included in the scope, such as infant feeding support products and infant floor seats. Staff suggested that this language be changed to state that such products would not be included in the scope only if they are exclusively intended for that function. The task group agreed and added the phrase “exclusively marketed as” to the sentence.

Lastly, the task group discussed the general requirement, currently under consideration by the Performance Requirements Task Group, for products that convert into another product for which

a standard exists to be required to comply to that standard as well. Staff suggested that the language be revised so that the product need not “convert” into another product, but rather, the product might fall under multiple standards without undergoing a conversion. After some discussion, the task group agreed to revise the language to state that the requirement applies to products that can be converted or are “intended to be used” as a product for which another standard applies.

The Chair concluded the meeting by stating that he would update the scope document based on the outcome of the current meeting and would distribute the revised draft to the task group. The Chair also stated that, at this time, there did not appear to be any need for additional meetings of the Scope Task Group.