



PHONE CALL LOG

SUBJECT: Clarification of Topic 18 for ANSI/CAN/UL 325 Ballot Closing 09-10-2024

DATE OF CALL: September 30, 2024

LOG ENTRY SOURCE: Jay Kadiwala, ESEF

NAME/AFFILIATION OF CONTACT CALLED: Stephen R. Kuscsik, UL Solutions

SUMMARY OF CALL:

Mr. Kadiwala called Mr. Kuscsik for additional clarification on the rationale for the removal of one of the acceptability criteria for the monitoring function of the secondary entrapment protection device specified in ANSI/CAN/UL 325, Clause 33.3.3. CPSC submitted a comment, dated August 30, 2024, expressing concerns about removing this criterion, but the Technical Committee approved the proposed change without providing a response to CPSC's comment before the ballot closed. At the time, CPSC's designated representative was not a voting member in this Technical Committee.

Mr. Kuscsik indicated that the requirement to monitor for "correct operation" of the secondary entrapment protection device is unnecessary because the user can rely on the detection of the presence of the secondary entrapment protection device. If the entrapment protection device is not detected, the operation of the residential door operator will not function, and hence the door will not move. Without the door moving, there is no immediate hazard of entrapment. Therefore, the correct operation requirement is redundant and not necessary. Mr Kuscsik indicated that he would provide a response to CPSC's comment and make the comment visible to other TC 325 participants in ULSE's Collaborative Standards Development System (CSDS).