

LOG OF MEETING DIRECTORATE FOR ENGINEERING SCIENCES

SUBJECT: Meeting of the ASTM F15.16 Infant Feeding Support Products Scope Task Group

OP PLAN PRODUCT: Infant Support Pillows and Nursing Support Products

DATE OF MEETING: July 20, 2022

PLACE OF MEETING: Virtual/Teleconference

LOG ENTRY SOURCE: Tim Smith (ESHF)

COMMISSION ATTENDEES: Tim Smith (ESHF), Jacqueline Campbell (EXHR), Ashley Johnson (HSPP), Celestine Kish (ESHF), John Topping (EPHA), and Suad Wanna-Nakamura (HSPP)

NON-COMMISSION ATTENDEES: Contact ASTM for attendee list

SUMMARY OF MEETING:

This meeting of the ASTM Infant Feeding Support Products Scope task group was led by task group member, Jessica Doyle. The task group Chair, Bill Baxter, was originally intending to lead the meeting, but was not present. Ms. Doyle shared the latest draft of the scope section of the proposed standard for infant feeding support products, based on feedback from the prior meeting of the task group. The task group agreed to identify these products officially as “infant feeding support products” rather than “infant feeding and support products.” The task group then discussed several items in the draft:

- *Primary and secondary functions.* The task group discussed striking the language discussing the possible secondary functions of products included within the scope, based on other language in the scope stating that products that fall under the scope of other standards would be subject to the requirements of those standards as well. CPSC staff did not object, but suggested that the task group make sure the revised language does not suggest that the scope is limited to only include products whose *sole* function was feeding support. The task group also discussed whether the scope should be limited to products whose primary function was feeding support, but agreed not to limit the scope in this way to avoid questions about what function was the *primary* one.
- *Age restrictions.* The task group discussed whether an upper bound on the intended age for the products should be included. There was agreement that an upper bound was not needed or warranted.
- *Restraints and other accessories.* The task group discussed whether the scope language should include a reference to features that in-scope products might include, such as

restraints. The group agreed that the language should be clear that these features are not the only possible features, and some members expressed concern about including restraints with these products, as these might suggest that it is acceptable to leave the infant during use. The task group considered striking restraints as one of the possible features, and agreed to revisit this language after performance requirements are added to the draft standard.

- *Not for sleep.* The task group discussed language about the products not being intended for sleep or as sleeping accommodations. CPSC staff questioned whether this language made sense, given that the scope currently is focused on feeding support. Staff also stated that although it made sense to consider performance and warning requirements to address these products use for sleep, it was unclear whether such language made sense for the scope section. Some members pointed out that it might make sense to include exclusionary language regarding sleep in the scope to make it clear that infant sleep products are not included.

Ms. Doyle concluded the meeting by stating that she would send a draft of the revisions resulting from the meeting to the task group for additional feedback.