

LOG OF MEETING OFFICE OF HAZARD ANALYSIS AND REDUCTION

SUBJECT: ASTM F15.18 In-Bed Sleepers

DATE OF MEETING: 5 May 2021

PLACE OF MEETING: Virtual (teleconference)

LOG ENTRY SOURCE: Celestine Kish (ESHF)

COMMISSION ATTENDEES: Hope Nesteruk (ESMC), Celestine Kish (ESHF), Suad Wanna-Nakamura (HSPP), Zach Foster (ESHF), Kevin Lee (ESMC)

NON-COMMISSION ATTENDEES: Contact ASTM for attendee list.

Meeting Summary: Brian Grochal will be the new Subcommittee Chair, just awaiting ASTM approval.

Ballot results: None

Task group reports:

- 1) Performance Requirements
 - a. Products must meet the bassinet standard except for side height and stability.
 - b. Need to add an exception for multi-use products, for example, compact bassinet and in-bed sleeper
 - c. A concern was raised that if this product is put on a stand, the product becomes a bassinet and has to meet the bassinet side height requirements.
 - d. The group discussed testing options – The product is a compact bassinet if used on the floor and an in-bed sleeper when used in bed. If an in-bed sleeper is used out of the bed, should it be tested to the bassinet/cradle requirements and if it is used on floor, should it be tested to compact bassinet requirements. The subcommittee chair will rework this section and present a revision to the task group.
 - e. There was a lengthy discussion about ASTM's efforts to create this standard and CPSC's SNPR. Members explained that ASTM and CPSC are different and have different missions; therefore, sometimes, those missions don't align.
 - f. CPSC staff explained that they will evaluate the requirements for the in-bed sleeper standard if ASTM submits the standard as an alternative to bassinets/cradles or infant sleep product based on the established safety features of bassinets.
 - g. The group discussed the side height 4" minimum and how rigid or non-rigid sides might require different requirements. This issue will go back to the task group to discuss.
 - h. Latching/locking requirements were discussed. As proposed, if the product has a latching/locking mechanism it must be tested, but a member questioned if latching/locking mechanism should be required for any product that folds. This issue will go back to the task group to discuss.
- 2) Stability Requirement
 - a. The task group is still working on this requirement. A question was raised about allowing these products to be used in the bed. Members explained that consumers bed-share, therefore, provide them with products that allow them to do so while providing the infant space.
 - b. A member indicated that the data doesn't really show that stability is a problem, so why not start with 7-degree side-to-side tilt that is used in other infant sleep products.
- 3) Incident Data

- a. CPSC staff explained that when the initial data was provided to the data task group, redacted IDIs were also provided. The task group member who received the data and IDIs will go back and take a look at the data related to stability.
- 4) The group had another lengthy discussion relating to bed-sharing. One member explained that bed-sharing occurs and no amount of telling consumers to stop is going to make them stop because we have to understand the motivation behind bedsharing. Consumers want to have the infant nearby and therefore, these in-bed sleepers can prevent overlay. Another member indicated that the subcommittee is trying to create a standard using best practices that are available right now. “Proven safe” is a misnomer and a standard can’t be “proven safe,” but it can be created with criteria based on safe practices.

Next steps: The subcommittee chair is going to take the draft standard back to the task groups for more discussion and development.