

MEETING LOG

PRODUCT: Airbag Head Protection Device

SUBJECT: Meeting with OFW Law Counsel (OFW Law)

LOCATION: via teleconference

DATE: 5/16/19

ENTRY DATE: 5/16/19

LOG ENTRY SOURCE: Brian Baker

COMMISSION ATTENDEES: Brian Baker (LSM)

NON-COMMISSION ATTENDEES: Eliot Belilos (OFW Law), Nancy Nord (OFW Law)

MEETING SUMMARY:

A representative of OFW Law Counsel (OFW Law) requested a “follow-up phone call” via tele-conference call with CPSC staff regarding the meeting held on April 11.

The meeting began at 11:01 AM. After greetings, Ms. Nord of OFW Law asked CPSC staff for the general “staff reaction” to the meeting held on April 11. Staff mentioned that the meeting was informative and provided additional clarity in regards to RISE’s overall objective, and clarity towards the testing results collected by SP-4439 of the Hövding product.

Ms. Nord continued, inquiring towards the “next step” of the petition process for CPSC staff, and requested a general timeframe regarding its completion. Staff declined to respond towards the “next step” in the process, and withheld a response towards a timeframe in order to seek guidance from general counsel regarding the answer. Staff offered to email OFW Law with greater clarity in regards to the timeframe after speaking with counsel.

Mr. Belilos inquired regarding any method or manner to expedite the process, stating that the company they represent is anxious to save lives of the American consumer, through the use of their product. Staff mentioned that they were unaware of any method to expedite the process, but were willing to accept any additional information that Hövding, RISE, or OFW Law wanted to submit as supplemental information towards the petition.

Ms. Nord continued asking if there were any additional questions that Hövding, RISE, or OFW law could answer in regards to the meeting held on April 11; offering additional information - if needed regarding usage data on items such as bike share programs. Staff responded by stating that no new questions had arisen since the meeting, however should any come up – staff plans to remain proactive by reaching out to OFW Law as/if questions arise. Additionally, staff mentioned again that any additional information the petitioner felt should be considered towards their petition was welcome to be submitted through the appropriate avenues.

The meeting concluded at 11:13 a.m.