

**U.S. Consumer Product Safety Commission
LOG OF MEETING**

SUBJECT: Meeting of ASTM Infant Inclined Sleep Products Roll Over task group

DATE OF MEETING: 20 September 2018

LOG ENTRY SOURCE: Celestine T. Kish, ESHF

DATE OF LOG ENTRY: 26 September 2018

LOCATION: Teleconference

CPSC ATTENDEE(S): Celestine T Kish, ESHF; Jill Jenkins, EC

NON-CPSC ATTENDEE(S): Joel Taft, Subcommittee Chair/Task Group (TG) Lead; Carol Pollack-Nelson; Don Huber; Kitty Pilarz; Emily Contomo; Colin, Nuna; Meredith Birkhead. Please contact Meredith Birkhead at mbirkhead@ahint.com to obtain full list of other participants.

SUMMARY OF MEETING: Joel Taft conducted the meeting. The task group (TG):

- 1. Confirmed that the TG agrees with the decision from the last TG meeting to change the restraints from "optional" to "required." The proposal will go to ballot.**
- 2. In the previous TG meeting there was discussion related to using the product without the manufacturer's pad (two incidents occurred in this configuration), and whether there should be a warning added to the label about this. The TG agreed not to overload the warning label and that the label already indicates to**

use the padding provided and restraints.

3. Discussed visibility of the warning. The TG agreed in the last meeting that the rolling over and suffocation message should be a separate and prominent warning. Celestine Kish provided a suggested warning label for display on the front of the product similar to the bouncer warning. The TG discussed the wording, bolded, and underlined words, and made some changes. The TG agreed to present the proposed stand-alone label on front of the product at the Subcommittee meeting in October.

4. Discussed visibility requirements for label. The TG agreed to use what is currently in the bouncer standard to determine visibility: "visible from the front of the product with the Cami dummy in place." [Note: it was not clear on the call that this was the language proposed because the Bouncer Standard passed new language similar to the Federal Regulation. Staff reached out to Mr. Taft for clarification and will raise during the Subcommittee meeting in October.]

5. Discussed the wording for the larger labels that address suffocation and fall hazards. The proposed label does not have the "Fall" hazard heading because the suffocation hazard is the most prominent hazard reported in the incident data. Adding a "Fall" header would be redundant to the messages already on the label. Joel Taft will mark up the new proposed labels and present at the Subcommittee meeting in October.