

**LOG OF MEETING
DIRECTORATE FOR ENGINEERING SCIENCES**

SUBJECT: Meeting of the ASTM Play Yard Mattress Fit & Firmness Task Group Call

DATE OF MEETING: 15 February 2018

PLACE OF MEETING: Virtual (teleconference)

LOG ENTRY SOURCE: Hope Nesteruk (ESMC)

COMMISSION ATTENDEES: Hope Nesteruk (ESMC), Suad Wanna-Nakamura (HSPP), Steve Harsanyi (ESHF), Max Sanborn (LSM), and Celestine Kish (ESHF)

NON-COMMISSION ATTENDEES: Contact ASTM for attendee list.

SUMMARY OF MEETING:

Scope

Task group agreed on the scope and goals:

- 1) Investigate issues of fit, firmness, and thickness of play yard mattresses
- 2) Develop performance standard(s) to address potential entrapment between mattress and flexible play yard side

Background

CPSC staff gave a brief overview of the briefing package on the supplemental mattress petition, basically reviewing the executive summary here: https://www.cpsc.gov/s3fs-public/Petition%20CP%2015-2%20-%20Petition%20Requesting%20Ban%20on%20Supplemental%20Mattress%20for%20Play%20Yards%20with%20Non-Rigid%20Sides%20-%20May%2010%202017_3.pdf?Y1NBUBkgTX2PTz2eYfFOW9Laec77bgVx

Issues

1) Perceived comfort

Discussion points raised by task group members:

- Need to address consumer perceived comfort issues without compromising safety.
- CPSC's Durable Nursery Product Exposure Survey (DNPEs), data suggest that:
 - About 75 percent of play yard users replace or add soft items to the original play yard mattress;
 - Approximately 12 percent of the play yards in use have aftermarket mattresses; and,
 - Only about 25 percent of play yard users do not place anything other than the original mattress under their child.

2) 1-inch requirement

Discussion points raised by task group members:

- Historical memory of task group members suggests that the 1-inch was developed decades ago to prevent pocket entrapment issues exhibited in older style play yards (A-frame) with a different folding mechanism than commonly used today. At the time, there were pocket-entrapment deaths with thicker mattresses, but the thinner, 1-inch thick mattresses were not associated with deaths, which seems to be the origin.

- Some task group members mentioned that many play yards today use less than 1 inch of foam padding in order to account for manufacturing variations in foam.
- Mention that some aftermarket mattresses are 3-inches thick, and could be up to 4 inches when added to existing mattress. Manufacturer stated he has no reported incidents.
- If a mattresses is thicker than OEM, should also be aware of side-height issues. Thicker mattresses reduce effective side-height.

3) Incident data

Discussion points raised by task group members:

- Of the 17 years of play yard entrapment incident data, it appears that no incidents occurred when the mattresses and sleep area was flat, firm, and fit (without gaps).
- Some felt that thicker mattresses should be fine if no gaps between mattress and side of play yard.
- One person stated that the data does not support that flexible sides pose an increased risk of side entrapment.
- Others felts that flexible sides may contribute to entrapment by affording a gap.
- One person stated that there have been 16 instances of deaths due to entrapment in standard cribs.
 - Group questioned if other variables like incorrectly sized mattresses or cribs were the cause.
 - Data was obtained by a manufacturer through a CPSC FOIA request and has not yet been shared with the ASTM subcommittee (nor the CPSC staff on the call).

4) Potential paths

Discussion points raised by task group members:

Standardize sizes

- Support from some members of group.
- Example: mattress size A, size B, and size C.
- Some felt this would be an innovation killer.
- Alternative solution: have several standard sizes and also allow custom shapes and sizes.
- Some concerns raised of increased gaps from multiple standard sizes.
- Consensus was to table the issue for now, but not to forget about it for later discussions.

Max/min thickness

- Discussion was limited. Too difficult to determine at the present time.

Performance test for gaps

- Most of the group felt this was a path worthy to pursue.
- Australian standard is a bit confusing
 - States no gaps
 - Uses a 50 mm probe to test for entrapment in flexible sides
 - Unclear rationale for 50 mm
 - Possible from shoulder depth
 - Possible for facial anthropometry
- Corey briefly discussed a proposal for entrapment using a small head probe.
 - Proposal and rationale was distributed before the meeting.

Next steps

- 1) Task group members will consider potential performances tests
- 2) Task group will reconvene by conference call to discuss potential performance tests in mid-March
- 3) At the April ASTM subcommittee meeting, task group will have a working meeting with samples of play yards and thicker mattresses.