

# **U.S. Consumer Product Safety Commission**

## **LOG OF MEETING**

**SUBJECT:** Baby Box, Task Group of Bassinet Subcommittee

**DATE OF MEETING:** 2 March 2020

**LOG ENTRY SOURCE:** Celestine Kish, ESHF

**LOCATION:** Teleconference from Rockville, MD

**CPSC ATTENDEE(S):** Celestine Kish, ESHF; Hope Nesteruk, ESMC; Carlos Torres, ESMC; Suad Wanna-Nakamura, HSPP

**NON-CPSC ATTENDEE(S):** Brian Grochal, Task Group (TG) Lead; Amber (Pip & Grow); Shawn (Finnbin); John Konsin; Meredith Birkhead; Nancy Cowles (Kids in Danger); Carol Pollack-Nelson; Anna Carter; due to the large size of the task group, roll call was not taken, please contact ASTM for the full list of participants.

### **SUMMARY OF MEETING:**

The meeting was called to discuss ballot results and the 12 December 2019 CPSC letter regarding compact bassinets, baby boxes, and in-bed sleepers.

Mr. Grochal gave brief overview of CPSC letter. There was a brief discussion about why baby boxes are being included with bassinets. Some members indicated desire to have separate standard for baby boxes and others want to continue to include with bassinets. One member stated that baby boxes in Europe and Canada are testing to EN1130 Bassinets. Task Group lead stated his belief that baby boxes should continue in bassinets. The rest of the meeting dealt with the two ballots. Ballot 1 – Water Repellants: discussion related to how much of the baby box needs to be tested and if mattress should be in the product during testing. Questions raised about inside bodily fluids exposure and outside (product sitting on damp/wet floor). Decided bottom support should have water repellency test with mattress in product. One ballot response recommended removing “22 inch occupant space height,” task group agreed. Ballot 2 – Lid Label: discussed font size; several members stated that larger is better and since the lid is not intended to be used when infant is in product, label sure use as much available space as possible. One member voted to change wording to use “NEVER” instead of “Do Not Use.” She agreed to remove her negative vote with this change. She also suggested additional language, but withdrew that language request. Mr. Grochal will prepare new ballots to be discussed at the April meeting.