

April 14, 2025

TRANSMITTED VIA EMAIL

Scott Lewis
Subcommittee Chairman for ASTM Bassinets and Cradles
Jennifer King
Elevated Surfaces Hazards & Incident Data Review Task Group Lead
ASTM International
100 Barr Harbor Dr.
West Conshohocken, PA 19428-2959

RE: ASTM Ballot F15 (25-06), Item 6, Revision of F2194-2022E1 Consumer Safety Specification for Bassinets and Cradles

Dear Mr. Lewis and Ms. King,

CPSC staff¹ appreciates the opportunity to comment on Ballot F15 (25-06), Item 6. Staff recognizes that this ballot seeks to address some of the identified hazards associated with bassinets; however, several areas critical to the safety of bassinets/cradles are insufficient to address known hazard patterns. Therefore, staff cast a negative vote.

Staff's primary concerns and focus of the negative vote are with the following:

1) Section 6.12, *Bassinet Structural Elements* – Bassinets/cradles shall comply with either 6.12.1 (*Side Wall Integrity and Occupant Support*) OR 6.12.2 (*Minimum Bassinet/Cradle* Height).

ASTM's ballot would require bassinets to have either *Side Wall Integrity and Occupant Support* (6.12.1) OR a minimum height of 16 inches (6.12.2). Such requirement would still permit short, portable compact bassinets, even though all references to compact bassinets have been deleted in this ballot. A bassinet with a short external height is portable and can still be placed on elevated and soft surfaces posing a risk to the infant (e.g., infant can fall or can end up in a compromised position.)² In September 2022, the Commission rejected the revised bassinet standard not only because it was weaker than the current bassinet

¹ These comments were prepared by the CPSC staff. They have not been reviewed or approved by, and may not represent the views of, the Commission.

² https://www.cpsc.gov/s3fs-public/ASTMs-Notice-of-a-Revised-Voluntary-Standard-for-Bassinets-and-Cradles.pdf?VersionId=x73F5OmeW4AJujWJEq8.kBZ28aTFLb2x

standard, but because it would have allowed the sale of compact bassinets, an entire class of unsafe and less stable products that are currently prohibited under the ISP [Infant Sleep Products] Rule.³

2) Section 6.14, *Lateral Sleep Surface Angles* - Based on a review of incidents and product testing, staff assesses that the proposed side-to-side tilt restriction of 7 degrees does not adequately address infant rolling and suffocation hazards.

Staff looks forward to discussing our comments at the next task group or subcommittee meeting.

Sincerely,

Pelestine IKol

Celestine T. Kish

Bassinet Project Manager

Directorate for Engineering Sciences

cc: Molly Lynyak, Manager, ASTM Technical Committee Operations

Don Mays, Chair, ASTM F15 Subcommittee

Jacqueline Campbell, CPSC Voluntary Standards Coordinator

Daniel Taxier, CPSC Children's Program Manager

³ https://www.cpsc.gov/s3fs-public/RCA-ASTMs-Notice-of-a-Revised-Voluntary-Standards-for-Bassinets-and-Cradles.pdf?VersionId=cfj.qZe5KITS2AY3G69UwltalltP4LRk