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Section/Paragraph: Article 210, Part I, General Provisions, para. 210.8 (B)

Add the following new item to paragraph 210.8 (B) covering GFCI requirements for "Other Than Dwelling Units:

(4) Places frequented by public and community segments at-large -- where the receptacles are installed for cord- and plug-connected appliances that are intended to be readily accessible to contact by populations at-large (for example, receptacles for such appliances located at schools, stores, theaters, shopping malls, restaurants, museums, houses of worship and other commercial, private and government structures, in corridors and lobbies, along sidewalks, plazas, parks, promenades, etc).

STATEMENT OF PROBLEM AND SUBSTANTIATION FOR PROPOSAL.

The U.S. Consumer Product Safety Commission (CPSC) estimates that there were 170 accidental electrocutions associated with consumer products in 1999 in the United States, the latest year available. Based on information provided on death certificates, CPSC identified six product categories involved in significant numbers of electrocutions: powered tools and equipment, installed wiring, antenna products, large appliances, small appliances, and lighting products. CPSC conducted its own follow-up investigations of a selection of the electrocution deaths that occurred over the seven-year period (1994-2000) in the United States to find causal factors. In total, 209 incidents were documented with sufficient detail (many included on-site visits by CPSC representatives, photographs, investigation reports by local authorities, and interviews with people with relevant knowledge). From these in-depth investigation reports, conditions that led to death were noted. Practical solutions to reduce the risk of electrocution under similar circumstances in the future emerged.

While most electrocutions to consumers occur in and around the home, electrocutions frequently are reported in public and community settings associated with cord-connected power equipment and large appliances, such as coin-operated machines, tools, pumps, and cleaning equipment. Providing GFCI protection for receptacle outlets, both indoor and outdoor, located at public and community access areas patronized by consumers will address these high-risk locations. The following is a partial list of electrocutions that occurred at areas covered by this proposal.

Williston, ND  October 8, 1996  A 9-year-old male electrocuted at an indoor recreation center by a cord- and plug-connected coin-operated machine. CPSC Case No. 970922CCC2427.

Waco, TX  May 29, 1997  A 44-year-old male electrocuted on public property while servicing a cold drink dispensing machine. CPSC Case No. 980402CCC3732.
Clanton, AL  August 21, 1995  A 10-year-old male electrocuted at a motel when he came in contact with a vending machine. CPSC Case No. 950823CCN2720.

Melbourne, FL  June 24, 1998  A 19-year-old male electrocuted at a rented unit in a public storage facility when he came in contact with an electric guitar and microphone plugged into a receptacle outlet not properly grounded. CPSC Case No. 98073CCC1613.

Tallahassee, FL  November 11, 1988  A 26-year-old male electrocuted after he contacted a change machine and a snack machine simultaneously at a college student lounge. CPSC Case No. 881202CCC1072.

Corwith, IA  May 4, 2000  A 16-year-old male electrocuted when he contacted a power tool plugged into a receptacle outlet within a shelter in a municipal park. CPSC Case No. 000530HCC2576.

The GFCI has been in service on selected circuits for 30 years. Reductions in the number of electrocutions have occurred for receptacle outlets and equipment that are required by code to be provided with GFCI protection. The average cost of the GFCI device has decreased substantially since the early period, with the retail cost for a receptacle GFCI below $10. It is time to expand the scope of GFCI protected areas to provide the same level of electrocution protection as now provided at those receptacle locations that were identified in the early years on the basis of priority. The unit cost of a GFCI is offset by the increased protection.

GFCIs manufactured to the current industry-supported safety standard (UL 943) are more reliable than those units manufactured in the past. UL 943 requires stringent voltage surge testing, improved resistance to corrosion, and resistance to false tripping from electronic interference.